Tensor Estimation at Growing Rank

Anas A. Rahman Joint with Jean Barbier and Justin Ko

October 2, 2024

Random Tensors







Let \mathbf{X}_0 be an $N \times M$ signal matrix with i.i.d. entries drawn from some centred, bounded distribution \mathbb{P}_X and let \mathbf{Z} be an order p tensor with i.i.d. $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$ entries. Consider the order p output

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{Y} &= \sqrt{\frac{\lambda(\rho-1)!}{N^{\rho-1}}} \sum_{k=1}^{M} \mathbf{X}_{0,\cdot,k}^{\otimes p} + \mathbf{Z}, \\ \mathbf{Y}_{i_1,\dots,i_p} &= \sqrt{\frac{\lambda(\rho-1)!}{N^{\rho-1}}} \sum_{k=1}^{M} \mathbf{X}_{0,i_1,k} \mathbf{X}_{0,i_2,k} \cdots \mathbf{X}_{0,i_p,k} + \mathbf{Z}_{i_1,\dots,i_p}. \end{split}$$

with SNR λ .

Let \mathbf{X}_0 be an $N \times M$ signal matrix with i.i.d. entries drawn from some centred, bounded distribution \mathbb{P}_X and let \mathbf{Z} be an order p tensor with i.i.d. $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$ entries. Consider the order p output

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{Y} &= \sqrt{\frac{\lambda(p-1)!}{N^{p-1}}} \sum_{k=1}^{M} \mathbf{X}_{0,\cdot,k}^{\otimes p} + \mathbf{Z}, \\ \mathbf{Y}_{i_1,\dots,i_p} &= \sqrt{\frac{\lambda(p-1)!}{N^{p-1}}} \sum_{k=1}^{M} \mathbf{X}_{0,i_1,k} \mathbf{X}_{0,i_2,k} \cdots \mathbf{X}_{0,i_p,k} + \mathbf{Z}_{i_1,\dots,i_p}. \end{split}$$

with SNR λ .

<u>Goal</u>: Understand the mutual information $I(X_0; Y)$ at large N in the Bayes-optimal setting.

Bayes-optimal means that we may assume that the estimator \boldsymbol{X} of \boldsymbol{X}_0 is such that

$$\mathbf{Y} = \sqrt{\frac{\lambda(p-1)!}{N^{p-1}}} \sum_{k=1}^{M} \mathbf{X}_{\cdot,k}^{\otimes p} + \widetilde{\mathbf{Z}}.$$

Bayes-optimal means that we may assume that the estimator \boldsymbol{X} of \boldsymbol{X}_0 is such that

$$\mathbf{Y} = \sqrt{\frac{\lambda(p-1)!}{N^{p-1}}} \sum_{k=1}^{M} \mathbf{X}_{\cdot,k}^{\otimes p} + \widetilde{\mathbf{Z}}.$$

The posterior distribution is

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{Y}) &= \frac{\mathbb{P}_{X}^{\otimes MN}}{\mathcal{Z}_{N}(\mathbf{Y})} e^{\mathcal{H}_{N}(\mathbf{X})}, \\ \mathcal{H}_{N}(\mathbf{X}) &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i_{1} \leq i_{2} \leq \cdots \leq i_{p}} \left(\mathbf{Y}_{i_{1}, \dots, i_{p}} - \sqrt{\frac{\lambda(p-1)!}{N^{p-1}}} \right. \\ & \times \sum_{l=1}^{M} \mathbf{X}_{i_{1}, k} \mathbf{X}_{i_{2}, k} \cdots \mathbf{X}_{i_{p}, k} \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{Y}_{i_{1}, \dots, i_{p}}^{2} \end{split}$$

Letting $F_N(\lambda) = \frac{1}{NM} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{X}_0} \ln \mathcal{Z}_N(\mathbf{Y})$, we have that

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\frac{1}{N}I(\mathbf{X}_0;\mathbf{Y})=\frac{\lambda}{2p}\sum_{k,k'=1}^{M}(\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{X}_{0,1}\mathbf{X}_{0,1}^T]^{\circ p})_{kk'}-\lim_{N\to\infty}F_N(\lambda).$$

Letting $F_N(\lambda) = \frac{1}{NM} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{X}_0} \ln \mathcal{Z}_N(\mathbf{Y})$, we have that

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\frac{1}{N}I(\mathbf{X}_0;\mathbf{Y})=\frac{\lambda}{2p}\sum_{k,k'=1}^{M}(\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{X}_{0,1}\mathbf{X}_{0,1}^T]^{\circ p})_{kk'}-\lim_{N\to\infty}F_N(\lambda).$$

For finite M it's known [Luneau-Barbier-Macris,'21] that

$$\begin{split} \lim_{N \to \infty} F_N(\lambda) &= \sup_{\mathbf{Q} \in \mathcal{S}_M^+} F_{M,p}^{\mathrm{RS}}(\mathbf{Q}, \lambda), \\ F_{M,p}^{\mathrm{RS}}(\mathbf{Q}, \lambda) &= \frac{1}{M} \mathbb{E} \ln \int e^{\sqrt{\lambda} \mathbf{x}^T \sqrt{\mathbf{Q}^{\circ (p-1)}} \mathbf{z} + \lambda \mathbf{x}_0^T \mathbf{Q}^{\circ (p-1)} \mathbf{x} - \frac{\lambda}{2} \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{Q}^{\circ (p-1)} \mathbf{x}} d\mathbb{P}_X^{\otimes M}(\mathbf{x}) \\ &- \frac{\lambda (p-1)}{2pM} \sum_{k,k'=1}^{M} (\mathbf{Q}^{\circ p})_{kk'}. \end{split}$$

Matrix Estimation at Growing Rank

Theorem (Barbier-Ko-R., '24)

Setting p=2 and assuming that $M=\mathrm{o}(N^{1/10})$, we have the Parisi-type formula

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} F_N(\lambda) = \sup_{q\in[0,\rho]} F_{1,2}^{\mathrm{RS}}(q,\lambda).$$

Matrix Estimation at Growing Rank

Theorem (Barbier-Ko-R., '24)

Setting p=2 and assuming that $M=\mathrm{o}(N^{1/10})$, we have the Parisi-type formula

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} F_N(\lambda) = \sup_{q\in[0,\rho]} F_{1,2}^{\mathrm{RS}}(q,\lambda).$$

Key ideas:

- $\sup F_{M,2}^{\mathrm{RS}} = \sup F_{1,2}^{\mathrm{RS}}$
- Overlap concentration
- A multiscale cavity method decoupling M, N growth

Extending to Tensors

We proved the p=2 rank-one reduction

$$\sup_{\mathbf{Q}\in\mathcal{S}_{M}^{+}}F_{M,2}^{\mathrm{RS}}(\mathbf{Q},\lambda)=\sup_{q\in[0,\rho]}F_{1,2}^{\mathrm{RS}}(q,\lambda)$$

by taking derivatives in eigenvalues of ${\bf Q}$ and showing that the maximisation problem decouples over the eigenvalues into ${\bf M}$ identical problems.

Extending to Tensors

We proved the p=2 rank-one reduction

$$\sup_{\mathbf{Q}\in\mathcal{S}_{M}^{+}}F_{M,2}^{\mathrm{RS}}(\mathbf{Q},\lambda)=\sup_{q\in[0,\rho]}F_{1,2}^{\mathrm{RS}}(q,\lambda)$$

by taking derivatives in eigenvalues of ${\bf Q}$ and showing that the maximisation problem decouples over the eigenvalues into ${\bf M}$ identical problems. This relied on the fact that

$$\sum_{k,k'=1}^M (\mathbf{Q}^{\circ 2})_{k,k'} = \operatorname{\mathsf{Tr}} \mathbf{Q}^2.$$

Extending to Tensors

We proved the p=2 rank-one reduction

$$\sup_{\mathbf{Q}\in\mathcal{S}_{M}^{+}}F_{M,2}^{\mathrm{RS}}(\mathbf{Q},\lambda)=\sup_{q\in[0,\rho]}F_{1,2}^{\mathrm{RS}}(q,\lambda)$$

by taking derivatives in eigenvalues of ${\bf Q}$ and showing that the maximisation problem decouples over the eigenvalues into ${\bf M}$ identical problems. This relied on the fact that

$$\sum_{k,k'=1}^M (\mathbf{Q}^{\circ 2})_{k,k'} = \operatorname{Tr} \mathbf{Q}^2.$$

In the general-p case, we need to relate eigenvalues of $\mathbf{Q}^{\circ(p-1)}$ to those of $\mathbf{Q}^{\circ p}$ or find some other way of maximising

$$\begin{split} F_{M,p}^{\mathrm{RS}}(\mathbf{Q},\lambda) &= \frac{1}{M} \mathbb{E} \ln \int e^{\sqrt{\lambda} \mathbf{x}^T \sqrt{\mathbf{Q}^{\circ(p-1)}} \mathbf{z} + \lambda \mathbf{x}_0^T \mathbf{Q}^{\circ(p-1)} \mathbf{x} - \frac{\lambda}{2} \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{Q}^{\circ(p-1)} \mathbf{x}} \, d\mathbb{P}_X^{\otimes M}(\mathbf{x}) \\ &- \frac{\lambda(p-1)}{2pM} \sum_{k,k'=1}^{M} (\mathbf{Q}^{\circ p})_{kk'}. \end{split}$$

Questions?

References: arXiv:2403.07189